1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	IN THE MATTER OF:
4	MICHAEL J. KOONCE -)
5	MT. CARMEL ONLINE,)) Complainant,)
6)
7	vs.) No. 03-0654) VERIZON NORTH, INC.,)
8	Respondent.
9)
10	Complaint as to service in) Mt. Carmel, Illinois.)
11	Chicago, Illinois
12	March 18, 2004
13	Met, pursuant to adjournment, at 10:00 a.m.
	BEFORE:
14	Mr. Glennon Dolan, Administrative Law Judge
15	APPEARANCES:
16	
17	MR. MICHAEL J. KOONCE 1001 North Market Street Mt. Carmel, IL
18	via telephone for complainant pro se;
19	
20	
21	
22	

```
1 APPEARANCES (cont.):
       SONNENSCHEIN, NATH & ROSENTHAL by
2
       MS. SARAH A. NAUMER
 3
       233 South Wacker Drive
       Suite 8000
       Chicago, IL 60606
 4
       (312) 876-8000
 5
           for the respondent.
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
     SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
     Jean M. Plomin, CSR, RPR
     License No. 084-003728
22
```

1			<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>I</u>	<u>E</u> <u>X</u>		
2	T.7 ' .1	Б' .		Re-		
3	Witnesses:	Direct	Cross	airect	cross	Examiner
4	None.					
5						
6						
7						
8						
9		<u>E</u>	<u>X</u> <u>H</u> <u>I</u>	<u>B</u> <u>I</u> <u>T</u> <u>S</u>	<u> </u>	
10	Number	For	Ident	ificatio	on_	<u>In Evidence</u>
11	None.					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

- 1 JUDGE DOLAN: By the power and authority of the
- 2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I call Case No.
- 3 03-0654, Michael J. Koonce, doing business as
- 4 Mt. Carmel Online, versus Verizon North, a complaint
- 5 concerning failure to repair or replace phone lines
- 6 in a timely manner in Mt. Carmel, Illinois.
- 7 Would the parties please identify
- 8 themselves for the record.
- 9 MS. NAUMER: Appearing on behalf of Verizon
- 10 North, Inc., Sarah Naumer and John Rooney of the law
- 11 firm Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal, 8000 Sears
- 12 Tower, Chicago, Illinois, 60606.
- 13 JUDGE DOLAN: Mr. Koonce.
- 14 MR. KOONCE: This is Michael Koonce
- 15 representing Mt. Carmel Online in Mt. Carmel,
- 16 Illinois.
- 17 JUDGE DOLAN: Why don't you just go ahead and
- 18 give a street address for the record, okay?
- MR. KOONCE: Say that one more time.
- 20 JUDGE DOLAN: Please give a street address for
- 21 the record.
- MR. KOONCE: 1001 North Market Street,

- 1 Mt. Carmel, Illinois.
- JUDGE DOLAN: Okay. So you've moved since you
- 3 filed your complaint?
- 4 MR. KOONCE: This is my office. That's not
- 5 where the lines were.
- 6 JUDGE DOLAN: Okay. The lines are at 129 Pekin
- 7 Avenue?
- 8 MR. KOONCE: Right, right.
- 9 JUDGE DOLAN: Okay. Let the record reflect no
- 10 other appearances.
- 11 Today we're here for status.
- 12 MR. KOONCE: Right.
- JUDGE DOLAN: And, Mr. Koonce, I do realize
- 14 that you left me a message the other day concerning
- your answers and responses to the data requests.
- 16 MR. KOONCE: Right.
- 17 JUDGE DOLAN: But under the rules, I'm really
- 18 not supposed to call you back and advise you one way
- or the other on how to act. So that's why I
- 20 contacted Ms. Naumer and asked her to give you a call
- 21 to see if you would be able to work a resolution out
- 22 concerning that.

- 1 MR. KOONCE: Right. We tried. We spoke
- 2 yesterday. And she informed me that -- well, first
- 3 she informed me that they consider -- her and her
- 4 client considered my account, my claim frivolous and
- 5 that they would permit me two weeks to return the
- 6 data requests to them -- that they sent for me to
- 7 fill out and that they were going to object to any
- 8 requests for me to request data requests from them.
- 9 That's what we -- and, of course, I agree that we
- 10 disagreed. That was pretty much it.
- JUDGE DOLAN: Okay. I'm trying to remember
- 12 from the last time. I did give the parties until
- what date to initiate discovery?
- MS. NAUMER: Your Honor, you did it somewhat
- informally. As you know, this is our third status
- 16 hearing. The first status hearing occurred on
- 17 December 18th of 2003 at which time the parties were
- instructed to issue their data requests to each
- 19 other.
- 20 Verizon did issue some to Mr. Koonce
- 21 which he claims he did not receive. We did not
- 22 receive any and I don't believe Mr. Koonce claims

- 1 that he sent any to Verizon at that time.
- 2 We had a second status hearing on
- 3 February 11th of 2004 at which time Verizon committed
- 4 to re-serve Mr. Koonce Verizon's discovery to
- 5 Mt. Carmel Online, and we did so on that very day via
- 6 three different means. We sent it to both his home
- 7 and his business address as well as via E-mail.
- 8 Once again at that same status hearing
- 9 Mr. Koonce was again instructed to serve Verizon with
- 10 any discovery he would have. Once again, he has
- 11 failed to act. He has not served us with anything.
- 12 So at this point in time given his
- 13 failure to serve Verizon via your Honor's order at
- 14 the last two status hearings, Verizon would object to
- 15 him serving Verizon with discovery at this point in
- 16 time. We feel that the deadline for doing so has
- 17 come and gone and Mr. Koonce has failed to act.
- 18 JUDGE DOLAN: Mr. Koonce.
- MR. KOONCE: Well, I spoke with -- of course,
- 20 at the last hearing we had on February 11th, it was
- 21 discussed that -- and, of course, Mrs. Naumer just
- 22 referred to December 18th, how she sent -- how

- 1 Verizon sent data requests to me on December 18th and
- 2 I did not receive them.
- 3 And the implication that she made to
- 4 me yesterday is that I did receive them. And I asked
- 5 her whether or not she had a receipt confirmation
- 6 because the last one I signed for and I said, Do you
- 7 have anything, because to me it looks as they're
- 8 trying to make me look very bad on the situation --
- 9 on the situation on December 18th when I said that I
- 10 did not receive them. And I asked her to provide
- 11 proof that said that I did receive them.
- 12 So then yesterday what we discussed
- was, I really don't see the need for why they would
- 14 request -- allow me to send any requests without --
- while also at the same time objecting for me to
- 16 receive any data -- or to make any data requests to
- 17 them.
- 18 What I would like -- what I have done
- 19 with this, since this is the first formal complaint
- 20 that I've ever filed -- and, of course, I'm sure this
- 21 is not the first formal complaint that they've
- 22 actually had to deny or to fight -- what I would

- 1 request, your Honor, is that we could -- that I would
- 2 be allowed to have these two weeks to get the data
- 3 requests finished for Mrs. Naumer and for Mr. Rooney
- 4 and for Verizon and at the same time give me those
- 5 same two weeks to get them data requests in order to
- 6 request data from Verizon at the same time. And then
- 7 we would have approximately, you know -- they would
- 8 have approximately 30 days from those two weeks to
- 9 get data requests to me. And then, of course, I
- 10 would like to have a little bit of time to evaluate
- 11 them before we have the next hearing.
- 12 Since this is a status hearing, I
- 13 would really hope that in order to be objective for
- 14 this -- because this is really imperative that I
- 15 receive data requests from Verizon at the same time.
- 16 And it feels to me -- it seems to me anyway that
- 17 they've got me over a barrel on this situation here,
- 18 and I have worked on these data requests. I have
- 19 them in my hand even. She sent them to my office in
- 20 E-mail form, and then she sent them to my office in
- 21 Fed Ex, but she did not send them to my home because
- 22 I only have one copy. But I have these and some of

- 1 these are so repetitive, it's pathetic.
- 2 Of course, I have a telecom attorney
- 3 that I've retained for something else. And I've
- 4 discussed it with him. And of course he told me what
- 5 I should do is just -- the ones that are repetitive,
- 6 just refer to the other one. And Mrs. Naumer told me
- 7 that yesterday also, so that's what I'm prepared to
- 8 do to make it as complete as I can. And the ones
- 9 that are repetitive is just to refer to the other
- 10 questions because they sent me 30 data requests to
- 11 cover about three subjects.
- MS. NAUMER: Your Honor, a couple of points.
- 13 There are two different issues that we're dealing
- 14 with on discovery. One is Mr. Koonce's responses to
- 15 the Verizon data requests. And I informed Mr. Koonce
- 16 yesterday and stand by it today that Verizon does not
- 17 object to granting him two more weeks to complete his
- 18 responses.
- I have recognized that at the last
- 20 status hearing he claimed that he had not received
- 21 Verizon's data requests, so we did re-serve them. As
- 22 Mr. Koonce just acknowledged, he has received them on

- 1 February 11th. So he does have them. We are not
- 2 objecting to a two-week extension for him to provide
- 3 us with his responses to those.
- 4 The second issue, however, is entirely
- 5 different; and that is Mr. Koonce's service on
- 6 Verizon of his own discovery requests. And he has
- 7 had the last two opportunities -- at the last two
- 8 status hearings, he has been instructed to do so and
- 9 he has failed to take any action on that matter.
- I heard a lot of him, you know,
- indicating that, you know, he's a pro se plaintiff;
- 12 he doesn't have experience in this matter. But I
- 13 reviewed the transcript and, you know, at the last
- 14 two status hearings, your Honor, you instructed him
- to refer to the Commission's rules of practice and
- 16 regulations in order to be able to participate in
- 17 these proceedings in accordance with the Commission's
- 18 regulations. And to the extent that Mr. Koonce, you
- 19 know, continues to be ignorant of the rules because
- 20 he has failed to do so, that is, once again, of his
- 21 own doing.
- So Verizon would object at this point

- 1 in time. He has missed the deadline twice, and he
- 2 has failed to take any action on the second issue of
- 3 Mr. Koonce serving Verizon with his own discovery at
- 4 this point in time. We do not object to granting him
- 5 two weeks for him to respond to our discovery.
- 6 MR. KOONCE: Mr. Dolan?
- 7 JUDGE DOLAN: Yes.
- 8 MR. KOONCE: I would like to make a suggestion
- 9 as far as what she just said. First of all, I don't
- 10 understand why it is so time imperative for them to
- 11 pass this off the books without giving it a thorough
- 12 investigation.
- I went through this with -- I spoke
- 14 with Mrs. Naumer yesterday and I went through this
- with Mrs. Janet Karrenbrock, the regional supervisor
- or regional director for Verizon, and they never even
- 17 offered to receive my complaint one time over at
- 18 consumer relations. And to me it seems that
- 19 Mrs. Naumer and Verizon are trying to ignore this
- 20 case.
- But what I would be willing to do if
- 22 Mrs. Naumer and Mr. Rooney would be willing to do is,

- 1 is that if I don't provide the information -- if I
- 2 don't have this information finished for the next
- 3 time, I would be willing to allow them to request to
- 4 drop the case. But at this time I feel like we would
- 5 be going forward even though -- since this is a
- 6 status hearing, I thought we would be going to the
- 7 next hearing half-baked so to say because I would not
- 8 be able to provide -- I would not be able to have
- 9 full adequate information. And from what I
- 10 understand, you don't normally solve these cases
- 11 within three months or four months.
- 12 In some cases if the tables were
- 13 turned, Verizon, they can and they probably will,
- 14 whenever I get my data requests sent to them,
- according to my attorney, he says they will probably
- 16 ask me -- send me more information and want me to
- 17 re-evaluate and discuss again, you know, one of my
- 18 data requests or things like that. This is an
- 19 ongoing thing.
- 20 But what I would be willing to do is
- 21 if I don't have them within two weeks, my response
- 22 and also my requests to them, and we have the next

- 1 hearing, I would be willing to let them drop it, and
- 2 I would be willing to put in for that. But I really
- 3 don't think that's going to happen. I feel like I'm
- 4 not going to have a very -- I won't have a more
- 5 adequate case if I'm not able to make requests from
- 6 them. And, of course, I know she keeps referring to
- 7 that, but then I refer -- I just request that a --
- 8 well, I wonder why they're in such a hurry for this
- 9 whenever they have nothing at stake for this and I'm
- 10 the one that has everything at stake for this.
- 11 JUDGE DOLAN: Okay. Mr. Koonce, I get you.
- 12 Counsel, I don't see that it's going
- to be unreasonable to allow him an opportunity, so
- 14 I'm going to issue a ruling today that you do have
- 15 two weeks to respond to their discovery, and then you
- 16 also have two weeks to issue your data responses to
- 17 them. Now, if you don't, then I am going to bar.
- 18 MR. KOONCE: That's fine. I've already got
- 19 some of it finished.
- 20 JUDGE DOLAN: All right. And then I will give
- 21 them, under Commission rules, they have the 30 days
- 22 to respond. So two weeks from today is April 1st;

- 1 30 days from there would put us into May. May 1st is
- on a Saturday, so how about we set a status for
- 3 May 13th?
- 4 MR. KOONCE: Okay.
- 5 MS. NAUMER: I believe that's good. Let me
- 6 just -- sorry, your Honor.
- JUDGE DOLAN: That's all right.
- 8 And then on May 13th -- well, whenever
- 9 we set the next status date, we will either be ready
- 10 to proceed to hearing or, if the information is not
- 11 provided, I guess we'll be entertaining a motion to
- 12 dismiss.
- MR. KOONCE: Right.
- JUDGE DOLAN: May 13th okay, Counsel?
- MS. NAUMER: It is with me, your Honor.
- 16 MR. KOONCE: It's fine with me too.
- 17 JUDGE DOLAN: Okay. So we will enter and
- 18 continue this matter until May 13, 2004, at
- 19 10:00 a.m.
- 20 Anything else?
- 21 MR. KOONCE: No, sir. That's fine with me.
- JUDGE DOLAN: Then we will be entered and

1	continued	until	Мау	13th.
2				(Whereupon, the above-entitled
3				matter was continued to May 13,
4				2004, at 10:00 a.m.)
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				